

One study suggested that the custom-made cement spacer that contains specific antibiotics targeted towards the infective organism(s) should be made after consultation with a microbiologist or infectious disease specialist [6]. Antibiotics like gentamicin, vancomycin, ampicillin, clindamycin and meropenem can be used as a combination based on organism susceptibility [4,6,14]. Even in cases of multi-resistant germs like methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*/methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (MRSA/MRSE), it was possible to achieve a 100% infection control rate when the local antibiotic therapy was tailored towards the infecting organism(s) [11]. It is, however, a known fact that antibiotic elution from spacers decreases over time. Studies have shown that bacterial colonization of spacers can occur with increasing in situ time [18,20–22]. Antibiotic cement spacers, thus, play a role for a finite period of time and should be removed at some point.

Another question that remains is whether antibiotics should be added to cement, if used, during reimplantation surgery and, if added, whether the antibiotics should be tailored towards the infective agent. This question has been answered comprehensively elsewhere in the consensus document, citing all the supportive literature. It is, however, our opinion that the addition of targeted antibiotics to cement, if used during reimplantation, may also play a role in reducing the incidence of subsequent failure.

In conclusion, based on a review of the available evidence, it is recommended that the type of antibiotics added to the cement spacer should be targeted towards the infective organism(s) and their susceptibility as determined by preoperative culture. In cases of culture-negative PJIs, strong consideration should be given for the addition of broad-spectrum antibiotics to cement spacers that have activity against the most common organisms causing PJIs.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hsieh PH, Huang KC, Lee PC, Lee MS. Two-stage revision of infected hip arthroplasty using an antibiotic-loaded spacer: retrospective comparison between short-term and prolonged antibiotic therapy. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2009;64:392–397. doi:10.1093/jac/dkp177.
- [2] Kini SG, Gabr A, Das R, Sukeik M, Haddad FS. Two-stage revision for periprosthetic hip and knee joint infections. *Open Orthop J.* 2016;10:579–588. doi:10.2174/187432501610010579.
- [3] Sukeik M, Haddad FS. Two-stage procedure in the treatment of late chronic hip infections - spacer implantation. *Int J Med Sci.* 2009;6:253–257.
- [4] Koo KH, Yang JW, Cho SH, Song HR, Park HB, Ha YC, et al. Impregnation of vancomycin, gentamicin, and cefotaxime in a cement spacer for two-stage cementless reconstruction in infected total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2001;16:882–892. doi:10.1054/arth.2001.24444.
- [5] Carbó-Laso E, Sanz-Ruiz P, Del Real-Romero JC, Ballesteros-Iglesias Y, Paz-Jiménez E, Arán-Ais F, et al. New method for antibiotic release from bone cement (polymethylmethacrylate): redefining boundaries. *Rev Esp Cir Ortop Traumatol.* 2018;62:86–92. doi:10.1016/j.recot.2017.08.001.
- [6] Fink B, Grossmann A, Fuerst M, Schäfer P, Frommelt L. Two-stage cementless revision of infected hip endoprostheses. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2009;467:1848–1858. doi:10.1007/s11999-008-0611-y.
- [7] Preininger B, Janz V, von Roth P, Trampuz A, Perka CF, Pfizner T. Inadequacy of joint aspiration for detection of persistent periprosthetic infection during two-stage septic revision knee surgery. *Orthopedics.* 2017;40:231–234. doi:10.3928/01477447-20170411-04.
- [8] Hoell S, Moeller A, Gosheger G, Harges J, Dieckmann R, Schulz D. Two-stage revision arthroplasty for periprosthetic joint infections: What is the value of cultures and white cell count in synovial fluid and CRP in serum before second stage reimplantation? *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2016;136:447–452. doi:10.1007/s00402-015-2404-6.
- [9] Janz V, Bartek B, Wassilew GI, Stuhler M, Perka CF, Winkler T. Validation of synovial aspiration in girdlestone hips for detection of infection persistence in patients undergoing 2-stage revision total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2016;31:684–687. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2015.09.053.
- [10] Mühlhofer HML, Knebel C, Pohlfig F, Feihl S, Harrasser N, Schauwecker J, et al. Synovial aspiration and serological testing in two-stage revision arthroplasty for prosthetic joint infection: evaluation before reconstruction with a mean follow-up of twenty seven months. *Int Orthop.* 2018;42:265–271. doi:10.1007/s00264-017-3700-2.
- [11] Babis GC, Sakellariou VI, Pantos PG, Sasalos GG, Stavropoulos NA. Two-stage revision protocol in multidrug resistant periprosthetic infection following total hip arthroplasty using a long interval between stages. *J Arthroplasty.* 2015;30:1602–1606. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2015.04.004.
- [12] Hoad-Reddick DA, Evans CR, Norman P, Stockley I. Is there a role for extended antibiotic therapy in a two-stage revision of the infected knee arthroplasty? *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2005;87:171–174.
- [13] Hsieh PH, Chen LH, Chen CH, Lee MS, Yang WE, Shih CH. Two-stage revision hip arthroplasty for infection with a custom-made, antibiotic-loaded, cement prosthesis as an interim spacer. *J Trauma.* 2004;56:1247–1252.
- [14] Jung J, Schmid NV, Kelm J, Schmitt E, Anagnostakos K. Complications after spacer implantation in the treatment of hip joint infections. *Int J Med Sci.* 2009;6:265–273.
- [15] McKenna PB, O'Shea K, Masterson EL. Two-stage revision of infected hip arthroplasty using a shortened post-operative course of antibiotics. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2009;129:489–494. doi:10.1007/s00402-008-0683-x.
- [16] Su YP, Lee OK, Chen WM, Chen TH. A facile technique to make articulating spacers for infected total knee arthroplasty. *J Chin Med Assoc.* 2009;72:138–145. doi:10.1016/S1726-4901(09)70039-5.
- [17] Luu A, Syed F, Raman G, Bhalla A, Muldoon E, Hadley S, et al. Two-stage arthroplasty for prosthetic joint infection: a systematic review of acute kidney injury, systemic toxicity and infection control. *J Arthroplasty.* 2013;28:1490–1498.e1. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2013.02.035.
- [18] Aeng ESY, Shalansky KF, Lau TTY, Zalunardo N, Li G, Bowie WR, et al. Acute kidney injury with tobramycin-impregnated bone cement spacers in prosthetic joint infections. *Ann Pharmacother.* 2015;49:1207–1213. doi:10.1177/1060028015600176.
- [19] Corona PS, Espinal L, Rodriguez-Pardo D, Pigrau C, Larrosa N, Flores X. Antibiotic susceptibility in gram-positive chronic joint arthroplasty infections: increased aminoglycoside resistance rate in patients with prior aminoglycoside-impregnated cement spacer use. *J Arthroplasty.* 2014;29:1617–1621. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2014.03.029.
- [20] Cabo J, Euba G, Saborido A, González-Panisello M, Domínguez MA, Agulló JL, et al. Clinical outcome and microbiological findings using antibiotic-loaded spacers in two-stage revision of prosthetic joint infections. *J Infect.* 2011;63:23–31. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2011.04.014.
- [21] Sorlí L, Puig L, Torres-Claramunt R, González A, Alier A, Knobel H, et al. The relationship between microbiology results in the second of a two-stage exchange procedure using cement spacers and the outcome after revision total joint replacement for infection: the use of sonication to aid bacteriological analysis. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2012;94:249–253. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.94B2.27779.
- [22] Nelson CL, Jones RB, Wingert NC, Foltzer M, Bowen TR. Sonication of antibiotic spacers predicts failure during two-stage revision for prosthetic knee and hip infections. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2014;472:2208–2214. doi:10.1007/s11999-014-3571-4.

● ● ● ● ●

Authors: Valeriy Murylev, Matthew W. Squire, Lars Frommelt, Solmaz Saleri, Justin Greiner

QUESTION 4: Which antibiotic(s) should be added to a cement spacer in patients with periprosthetic joint infections (PJIs) caused by multiresistant organisms?

RECOMMENDATION: In the case of PJIs caused by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*/methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (MRSA/MRSE), vancomycin should be added to the bone cement spacer. In vancomycin-resistant strains, such as vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE), or in multiresistant gram-negative PJI cases, individual decision making is mandatory based on the known susceptibilities. Consultation with a microbiologist/infectious disease specialist is strongly recommended.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE: Moderate

DELEGATE VOTE: Agree: 99%, Disagree: 0%, Abstain: 1% (Unanimous, Strongest Consensus)

RATIONALE

Multidrug resistant (MDR) pathogens in the context of periprosthetic joint infections (PJIs) are MRSA, MRSE or VRE and multidrug-resistant gram-negatives (MRGN).

Most PJIs are caused by gram-positive cocci, including *Staphylococcus* species [1], and in some reports methicillin-resistant organisms account for up to 74% of PJIs [2]. For the treatment of PJIs caused by MRSA, vancomycin is usually used for antibiotic therapy and commonly incorporated into bone cement as well as intravenous treatment [3]. The successful clinical control of chronic PJIs due to methicillin-resistant organisms varies from 48 - 89% [4,5] in the hip and 60 - 74% [6,7] in the knee when vancomycin is used in two-stage exchange arthroplasty.

The optimal combination of antibiotics in polymethyl methacrylate cement is not known. Most surgeons prefer to add between two to four grams of vancomycin and a similar dose of an aminoglycoside, such as gentamicin or tobramycin, to the cement. The addition of dual antibiotics to cement has several advantages including a postulated synergy between vancomycin and gentamicin against gram-positive bacteria [8,9] and an improved antibiotic elution from the spacer [10,11]. Moreover, this antibiotic combination results in a decreased risk of bacterial growth on the surface of the cement spacer, which could be detrimental to the control of the infection [10]. Systemic toxicity as a result of elution of antibiotics from cement spacers, though rare, can occur. Thus, it is important to ensure that the renal clearance of the patient and the viscosity of the cement, which affects antibiotic elution, is considered when forming the spacer during resection arthroplasty. Renal toxicity of vancomycin is a potential risk and renal function should be monitored [11,12]. However, Hsieh et al. noted no systemic adverse effects after using high doses of vancomycin and aztreonam in bone cement in 46 patients with a PJI of the hip [13]. Also, Springer et al. reported no systemic adverse effects from the use of high doses of vancomycin and gentamicin in cement spacers in a series of 36 knees with PJIs [14].

Regarding susceptible gram-negative bacteria, third-generation cephalosporins [15], carbapenems [16-19] and monobactam antibiotics [13] have strong activity. They retain their antibacterial capacities after being added into bone cement, but they exhibit different antibacterial durations even when the same antibiotic dose has been used. The kinetics of antibiotic release from bone cement depends on the penetration of dissolution fluids into the polymer matrix and subsequent diffusion of the dissolved drug from the cement [20]. Consequently, the limiting factor that determines the antibacterial activity of the cement is the efficiency of antibiotic elution.

The published literature on the topic of what antibiotics should be added to cement spacers for management of PJIs caused by resistant organisms is not well-established. A few reports exist related to management of PJIs caused by MRSA and MRSE with less literature related to the management of PJIs caused by multi-resistant gram-negative organisms. Numerous factors need to be considered when adding antibiotics to cement, including the renal function of the host, the antibiogram of the organism, the type of cement being used, the allergy profile of the host and so forth. In addition, other patient comorbidities, duration and type of intravenous/oral (IV/PO) antibiotics after spacer placement and the quality of bone and soft tissues should be taken into consideration.

The objective of adding antibiotics to cement spacers is to allow for high elution of antibiotics into the affected joint that will reach

beyond the organism minimum inhibitory concentration while avoiding potential for systemic drug toxicity [14,21]. It is important to note that on occasion alternative antibiotics may be added to cement spacers based on the allergy profile of the patient.

REFERENCES

- [1] Davis JS. Management of bone and joint infections due to *Staphylococcus aureus*. Intern Med J. 2005;35 Suppl 2:S79-S96. doi:10.1111/j.1444-0903.2005.00982.x.
- [2] Moran GJ, Krishnadasan A, Gorwitz RJ, Fosheim GE, McDougal LK, Carey RB, et al. Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* infections among patients in the emergency department. N Engl J Med. 2006;355:666-674. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa05536.
- [3] Kuzyk PRT, Dhotar HS, Sternheim A, Gross AE, Safir O, Backstein D. Two-stage revision arthroplasty for management of chronic periprosthetic hip and knee infection: techniques, controversies, and outcomes. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2014;22:153-164. doi:10.5435/JAAOS-22-03-153.
- [4] Kilgus DJ, Howe DJ, Strang A. Results of periprosthetic hip and knee infections caused by resistant bacteria. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2002;116-124.
- [5] Volin SJ, Hinrichs SH, Garvin KL. Two-stage reimplantation of total joint infections: a comparison of resistant and non-resistant organisms. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2004;94-100.
- [6] Parvizi J, Azzam K, Ghanem E, Austin MS, Rothman RH. Periprosthetic infection due to resistant staphylococci: serious problems on the horizon. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2009;467:1732-1739. doi:10.1007/s11999-009-0857-z.
- [7] Mittal Y, Fehring TK, Hanssen A, Marculescu C, Odum SM, Osmon D. Two-stage reimplantation for periprosthetic knee infection involving resistant organisms. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2007;89:1227-1231. doi:10.2106/JBJS.E.0192.
- [8] Joseph TN, Chen AL, Di Cesare PE. Use of antibiotic-impregnated cement in total joint arthroplasty. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2003;11:38-47.
- [9] Watanakunakorn C, Tisone JC. Synergism between vancomycin and gentamicin or tobramycin for methicillin-susceptible and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1982;22:903-905.
- [10] Bertazzoni Minelli E, Benini A, Magnan B, Bartolozzi P. Release of gentamicin and vancomycin from temporary human hip spacers in two-stage revision of infected arthroplasty. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2004;53:329-334. doi:10.1093/jac/dkh032.
- [11] van Raaij TM, Visser LE, Vulto AG, Verhaar JAN. Acute renal failure after local gentamicin treatment in an infected total knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2002;17:948-950.
- [12] Luu A, Syed F, Raman G, Bhalla A, Muldoon E, Hadley S, et al. Two-stage arthroplasty for prosthetic joint infection: a systematic review of acute kidney injury, systemic toxicity and infection control. J Arthroplasty. 2013;28:1490-1498.e1. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2013.02.035.
- [13] Hsieh PH, Chang YH, Chen SH, Ueng SWN, Shih CH. High concentration and bioactivity of vancomycin and aztreonam eluted from Simplex cement spacers in two-stage revision of infected hip implants: a study of 46 patients at an average follow-up of 107 days. J Orthop Res. 2006;24:1615-1621. doi:10.1002/jor.20214.
- [14] Springer BD, Lee G-C, Osmon D, Haidukewych GJ, Hanssen AD, Jacofsky DJ. Systemic safety of high-dose antibiotic-loaded cement spacers after resection of an infected total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2004;47-51.
- [15] Nordmann P, Mammeri H. Extended-spectrum cephalosporinases: structure, detection and epidemiology. Future Microbiol. 2007;2:297-307. doi:10.2217/17460913.2.3.297.
- [16] Samuel S, Mathew BS, Veeraraghavan B, Fleming DH, Chittaranjan SB, Prakash AJ. In vitro study of elution kinetics and bio-activity of meropenem-loaded acrylic bone cement. J Orthop Traumatol. 2012;13:131-136. doi:10.1007/s10195-012-0191-1.
- [17] Solomon AW, Stott PM, Duffy K, Kumar PGA, Holliman RE, Bridle SH. Elution and antibacterial activity of meropenem from implanted acrylic bone cement. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2010;65:1834-1835. doi:10.1093/jac/dkq196.
- [18] Baleani M, Persson C, Zolezzi C, Andollina A, Borrelli AM, Tigani D. Biological and biomechanical effects of vancomycin and meropenem in acrylic bone cement. J Arthroplasty. 2008;23:1232-1238. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2007.10.010.
- [19] Persson C, Baleani M, Guandalini L, Tigani D, Viceconti M. Mechanical effects of the use of vancomycin and meropenem in acrylic bone cement. Acta Orthop. 2006;77:617-621. doi:10.1080/17453670610012692.
- [20] Chang Y, Tai CL, Hsieh PH, Ueng SWN. Gentamicin in bone cement: a potentially more effective prophylactic measure of infection in joint arthroplasty. Bone Joint Res. 2013;2:220-226. doi:10.1302/2046-3758.210.2000188.
- [21] Slane J, Gietman B, Squire M. Antibiotic elution from acrylic bone cement loaded with high doses of tobramycin and vancomycin. J Orthop Res. 2018;36:1078-1085. doi:10.1002/jor.23722.

