

**Authors:** Katherine Belden, Werner Zimmerli, Christian Lausmann, Mustafa Citak, Akos Zahar

## QUESTION 6: When should rifampin be added to the regimen of antibiotics for management of patients with periprosthetic joint infections (PJIs) undergoing surgical treatment?

**RECOMMENDATION:** Rifampin should be considered in the treatment of staphylococcal PJIs in patients managed surgically with debridement, antibiotics and implant retention (DAIR) or single-stage exchange where activity against biofilm is required. Rifampin should only be used in combination therapies, with the best reported combination appearing to be with a fluoroquinolone.

**LEVEL OF EVIDENCE:** Moderate

**DELEGATE VOTE:** Agree: 96%, Disagree: 2%, Abstain: 2% (Unanimous, Strongest Consensus)

### RATIONALE

The excellent efficacy of rifampin against biofilm produced by staphylococci has been shown in vitro, in animal models and in patients with orthopaedic implant-related infections undergoing DAIR [1–8]. Nevertheless, rifampin should be used with care because of the danger of rapid emergence of resistance and potential unwanted effects, such as severe nausea, hepatotoxicity, interstitial nephritis and cytopenia [9,10]. Rifampin is a potent inducer of the cytochrome P450 oxidative pathway and can result in significant drug interactions [10,11]. Monotherapy is known to quickly promote rifampin resistance and must therefore be avoided [12,13]. The emergence of rifampin resistance in *S. aureus* is of particular concern [8,14]. The best documented combination partners for rifampin are fluoroquinolones [15,16].

Clinical data supporting the use of combination rifampin antimicrobial therapy and surgical debridement for the treatment of staphylococcal PJIs are available [14,17]. Widmer et al. showed in an open-label study that 9 of 11 patients (82%) with staphylococcal or streptococcal PJIs that could not undergo removal of hardware were successfully treated with rifampin in combination with either a beta-lactam or with ciprofloxacin [1]. A randomized controlled study by Zimmerli et al. showed that among 24 patients with methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA), or coagulase-negative staphylococcus (CNS)-PJI, with stable implants and a short duration of infection managed with DAIR. Those able to tolerate long-term (three to six months) combination therapy with ciprofloxacin-rifampin achieved cure at higher rates than those treated with a ciprofloxacin-placebo [15].

Trebe et al. followed 24 patients with PJIs and retained implants prospectively over 4 years, showing 83% with a successful outcome. A total of 17 of the patients had Staphylococcal infections, and were treated with rifampin combination therapy; two of the four patients who failed had staphylococcal infections, one with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and one with CNS [17].

Retrospective case series have described the success of rifampin combination therapy [10,14]. Successful treatments with rifampin-fluoroquinolone therapy was shown by Berdal et al. and Barberan et al. [19,20]. Rifampin, in combination with other antibiotics, including fusidic acid, vancomycin or daptomycin, has also been reported to be effective [21–23]. Many of the reported case series primarily address the successful treatments of MSSA and CNS infections. Barberan et al. observed a non-significantly ( $p = 0.08$ ) higher failure rate in 7 MRSA-infected, as compared to 14 MSSA-infected patients. More important, in patients with a duration of infection  $\leq 1$  month treated with levofloxacin plus rifampin, the outcome was significantly better than that for patients with a longer duration of infection [24]. A cohort study by Peel et al. included 43 methicillin-resistant Staphylococcal infections (24 MRSA) and found 86% of patients were treated success-

fully, most with rifampin-fusidic acid. The found eight out of nine failures were in MRSA cases [25]. A retrospective multicenter study by Lora-Tamayo et al. reported on 345 *S. aureus* PJIs managed with joint retention, including 81 MRSA cases. A total of 88% of patients received rifampin combination therapy and failure rates were similar in MRSA (46%) and MSSA (44%) cases [26].

The Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) PJI and MRSA management guidelines recommend the use of rifampin combination therapy (2–6 weeks of pathogen specific IV antimicrobial therapy plus rifampin followed by 3–6 months of rifampin plus an oral companion drug) in the treatment of staphylococcal PJIs/hardware infections in patients managed with debridement or single-stage exchange [27,28]. European guidelines include similar recommendations [29].

Unanswered questions regarding the role of rifampin remain; however, many clinical studies have focused on rifampin-quinolone combinations, with little information available for beta lactam-rifampin therapy. Of note, fluoroquinolone-resistant Staphylococci are found in many settings, especially in MRSA-strains [30]. The emergence of rifampin resistance can occur even when using combination therapies [8,25,26,31]. Drug interactions lowering the serum concentrations of companion antimicrobials, including fusidic acid and clindamycin, have been reported [32,33]. The clinical significance of these interactions, however, is still unknown. Additionally, the optimal duration of combination antimicrobial therapies, including rifampin, for the treatment of prosthetic joint infections with retained hardware is not yet known. While extended treatment (3–6 months) is recommended and often used, shorter treatment courses may be as effective in some settings [34].

### REFERENCES

- [1] Widmer AF, Frei R, Rajacic Z, Zimmerli W. Correlation between in vivo and in vitro efficacy of antimicrobial agents against foreign body infections. *J Infect Dis.* 1990;162:96–102.
- [2] Zimmerli W, Frei R, Widmer AF, Rajacic Z. Microbiological tests to predict treatment outcome in experimental device-related infections due to *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 1994;33:959–967.
- [3] Raad I, Hanna H, Jiang Y, Dvorak T, Reitzel R, Chaiban G, et al. Comparative activities of daptomycin, linezolid, and tigecycline against catheter-related methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus bacteremic* isolates embedded in biofilm. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2007;51:1656–1660. doi:10.1128/AAC.00350-06.
- [4] Coraçá-Hubér DC, Fille M, Hausdorfer J, Pfaller K, Nogler M. Evaluation of MBEC™-HTP biofilm model for studies of implant associated infections. *J Orthop Res.* 2012;30:1176–1180. doi:10.1002/jor.22065.
- [5] Baldoni D, Haschke M, Rajacic Z, Zimmerli W, Trampuz A. Linezolid alone or combined with rifampin against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in experimental foreign-body infection. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2009;53:1142–1148. doi:10.1128/AAC.00775-08.
- [6] John AK, Baldoni D, Haschke M, Rentsch K, Schaeferli P, Zimmerli W, et al. Efficacy of daptomycin in implant-associated infection due to methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: importance of combination with rifampin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2009;53:2719–2724. doi:10.1128/AAC.00047-09.

- [7] Trampuz A, Murphy CK, Rothstein DM, Widmer AF, Landmann R, Zimmerli W. Efficacy of a novel rifamycin derivative, ABI-0043, against *Staphylococcus aureus* in an experimental model of foreign-body infection. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2007;51:2540–2545. doi:10.1128/AAC.00120-07.
- [8] Achermann Y, Eigenmann K, Ledergerber B, Derksen L, Rafeiner P, Clauss M, et al. Factors associated with rifampin resistance in staphylococcal periprosthetic joint infections (PJI): a matched case-control study. *Infection*. 2013;41:431–437. doi:10.1007/s15010-012-0325-7.
- [9] Zimmerli W, Ochsner PE. Management of infection associated with prosthetic joints. *Infection*. 2003;31:99–108. doi:10.1007/s15010-002-3079-9.
- [10] Forrest GN, Tamura K. Rifampin combination therapy for nonmycobacterial infections. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2010;23:14–34. doi:10.1128/CMR.00034-09.
- [11] Härter S, Koenen-Bergmann M, Sharma A, Nehmiz G, Lemke U, Timmer W, et al. Decrease in the oral bioavailability of dabigatran etexilate after co-medication with rifampicin. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2012;74:490–500. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2125.2012.04218.x.
- [12] Wehrli W. Rifampin: mechanisms of action and resistance. *Rev Infect Dis*. 1983;5 Suppl 3:S407–S411.
- [13] Alifano P, Palumbo C, Pasanisi D, Talà A. Rifampicin-resistance, rpoB polymorphism and RNA polymerase genetic engineering. *J Biotechnol*. 2015;202:60–77. doi:10.1016/j.jbiotec.2014.11.024.
- [14] Perloth J, Kuo M, Tan J, Bayer AS, Miller LG. Adjunctive use of rifampin for the treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* infections: a systematic review of the literature. *Arch Intern Med*. 2008;168:805–819. doi:10.1001/archinte.168.8.805.
- [15] Zimmerli W, Widmer AF, Blatter M, Frei R, Ochsner PE. Role of rifampin for treatment of orthopedic implant-related staphylococcal infections: a randomized controlled trial. Foreign-Body Infection (FBI) Study Group. *JAMA*. 1998;279:1537–1541.
- [16] Sendi P, Zimmerli W. Antimicrobial treatment concepts for orthopaedic device-related infection. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2012;18:1176–1184. doi:10.1111/1469-0691.12003.
- [17] Trebbe R, Piset V, Trampuz A. Treatment of infected retained implants. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2005;87:249–256.
- [18] Widmer AF, Gaechter A, Ochsner PE, Zimmerli W. Antimicrobial treatment of orthopedic implant-related infections with rifampin combinations. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1992;14:1251–1253. doi:10.1093/clinids/14.6.1251.
- [19] Berdal JE, Skråmm I, Mowinckel P, Gulbrandsen P, Bjørnholt JV. Use of rifampicin and ciprofloxacin combination therapy after surgical debridement in the treatment of early manifestation prosthetic joint infections. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2005;11:843–845. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2005.01230.x.
- [20] Barberán J, Aguilar L, Giménez M-J, Carroquino G, Granizo J-, Prieto J. Levofloxacin plus rifampicin conservative treatment of 25 early staphylococcal infections of osteosynthetic devices for rigid internal fixation. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2008;32:154–157. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2008.03.003.
- [21] Aboltins CA, Page MA, Buising KL, Jenney AWJ, Daffy JR, Choong PFM, et al. Treatment of staphylococcal prosthetic joint infections with debridement, prosthesis retention and oral rifampicin and fusidic acid. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2007;13:586–591. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2007.01691.x.
- [22] Antony SJ. Combination therapy with daptomycin, vancomycin, and rifampin for recurrent, severe bone and prosthetic joint infections involving methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Scand J Infect Dis*. 2006;38:293–295.
- [23] Drancourt M, Stein A, Argenson JN, Roiron R, Groulier P, Raoult D. Oral treatment of *Staphylococcus* spp. infected orthopaedic implants with fusidic acid or ofloxacin in combination with rifampicin. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 1997;39:235–240.
- [24] Barberán J, Aguilar L, Carroquino G, Giménez M-J, Sánchez B, Martínez D, et al. Conservative treatment of staphylococcal prosthetic joint infections in elderly patients. *Am J Med*. 2006;119:993.e7–e10. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2006.03.036.
- [25] Peel TN, Buising KL, Dowsey MM, Aboltins CA, Daffy JR, Stanley PA, et al. Outcome of debridement and retention in prosthetic joint infections by methicillin-resistant staphylococci, with special reference to rifampin and fusidic acid combination therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2013;57:350–355. doi:10.1128/AAC.02061-12.
- [26] Lora-Tamayo J, Murillo O, Iribarren JA, Soriano A, Sánchez-Somolinos M, Baraia-Etxaburu JM, et al. A large multicenter study of methicillin-susceptible and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* prosthetic joint infections managed with implant retention. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013;56:182–194. doi:10.1093/cid/cis746.
- [27] Osmon DR, Berbari EF, Berendt AR, Lew D, Zimmerli W, Steckelberg JM, et al. Diagnosis and management of prosthetic joint infection: clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013;56:e1–e25. doi:10.1093/cid/cis803.
- [28] Liu C, Bayer A, Cosgrove SE, Daum RS, Fridkin SK, Gorwitz RJ, et al. Clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America for the treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in adults and children: executive summary. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011;52:285–292. doi:10.1093/cid/cir034.
- [29] Société de Pathologie Infectieuse de Langue Française (SPILF), Collège des Universitaires de Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales (CMIT), Groupe de Pathologie Infectieuse Pédiatrique (GPIP), et al. Recommendations for bone and joint prosthetic device infections in clinical practice (prosthesis, implants, osteosynthesis). Société de Pathologie Infectieuse de Langue Française. *Med Mal Infect*. 2010;40:185–211. doi:10.1016/j.medmal.2009.12.009.
- [30] Dalhoff A. Global fluoroquinolone resistance epidemiology and implications for clinical use. *Interdiscip Perspect Infect Dis*. 2012;2012:976273. doi:10.1155/2012/976273.
- [31] Eng RH, Smith SM, Buccini FJ, Cherubin CE. Differences in ability of cell-wall antibiotics to suppress emergence of rifampicin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 1985;15:201–207.
- [32] Pushkin R, Iglesias-Ussel MD, Keedy K, MacLauchlin C, Mould DR, Berkowitz R, et al. A randomized study evaluating oral fusidic acid (CEM-102) in combination with oral rifampin compared with standard-of-care antibiotics for treatment of prosthetic joint infections: newly identified drug-drug interaction. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;63:1599–1604. doi:10.1093/cid/ciw665.
- [33] Curis E, Pestre V, Jullien V, Eyrolle L, Archambeau D, Morand P, et al. Pharmacokinetic variability of clindamycin and influence of rifampicin on clindamycin concentration in patients with bone and joint infections. *Infection*. 2015;43:473–481. doi:10.1007/s15010-015-0773-y.
- [34] Chaussade H, Uçkay I, Vuagnat A, Duon J, Gras G, Rosset P, et al. Antibiotic therapy duration for prosthetic joint infections treated by Debridement and Implant Retention (DAIR): Similar long-term remission for 6 weeks as compared to 12 weeks. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2017;63:37–42. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2017.08.002.

Authors: Harriet Hughes, Gina Ann Suh, Ruben Anemüller, Christian Lausmann

## QUESTION 7: What is the optimal antibiotic therapy in cases of culture-negative (CN) periprosthetic joint infections (PJIs)?

**RECOMMENDATION:** In patients with true CN PJIs, the antibiotics should be selected to have broad spectrum activity against both gram-positive and gram-negative organisms. In addition, the exact choice should relate to the known modern epidemiology in that country.

**LEVEL OF EVIDENCE:** Limited

**DELEGATE VOTE:** Agree: 87%, Disagree: 6%, Abstain: 7% (Super Majority, Strong Consensus)

### RATIONALE

In the literature, rates of CN PJIs vary from 0–42% but reports suggest that the outcomes are not necessarily worse than for culture positive cases if rigorous and robust pathways for diagnosis and management are followed [1–7]. Factors associated with increased risk of culture negativity include prior antibiotic use, delay in transportation of the samples to the laboratory and variations in culture techniques, including short duration of culture [1,8–11]. It is important to

note that several studies demonstrate that administration of antibiotic prophylaxis prior to obtaining culture samples did not interfere with isolation of the infecting organism [12].

A recent systematic review by Yoon et al. evaluated clinical studies related to culture-negative PJI. After exclusions, seven studies were included in the analysis, with all studies being retrospective [1,4,6–8,12–15]. Of these, four studies defined PJI using MusculoSkel-