

1.4. PREVENTION: ANTIMICROBIALS (LOCAL)

Authors: Yale Fillingham, Ali Parsa, Sergei Oshkukov, A. Seth Greenwald

QUESTION 1: Is there sufficient evidence to support the use of antibiotic-loaded cement in primary total knee arthroplasty (TKA) or total hip arthroplasty (THA) to reduce the risk of surgical site infections/periprosthetic joint infections (SSIs/PJIs)?

RECOMMENDATION: There is no conclusive evidence to demonstrate that routine use of antibiotic-loaded cement in primary TKA or THA reduces the risk of subsequent SSIs/PJIs. Recent high level evidence and registry data has not demonstrated a reduction in SSI/PJIs. Furthermore, the added cost, the potential for the emergence of resistant organisms and the potential adverse effect of antibiotics on the host provide adequate reasons to refrain from routine use of antibiotic loaded cement during primary total joint arthroplasty.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE: Moderate

DELEGATE VOTE: Agree: 38%, Disagree: 58%, Abstain: 4% (NO Consensus)



Authors: Yale Fillingham, Ali Parsa, Sergei Oshkukov, A. Seth Greenwald

QUESTION 2: Is there a role for the use of antibiotic-impregnated cement in primary total joint arthroplasty (TJA)?

RECOMMENDATION: Antibiotic-impregnated cement may be used during primary TJA to reduce the risk of surgical site infections/periprosthetic joint infections (SSIs/PJIs). The benefits of antibiotic-impregnated cement versus its cost and other potential adverse effects, may be most justified in patients at high risk of infection

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE: Moderate

DELEGATE VOTE: Agree: 93%, Disagree: 7%, Abstain: 0% (Super Majority, Strong Consensus)

RATIONALE

The concept of using bone cement as a depot for antibiotics makes sense, as it allows for delivery of antibiotics directly to the site of potential infection. However, its role in the prevention of infection remains controversial [1–3].

The elution profile of cemented antibiotics has been evaluated, which demonstrates the elution kinetics of vancomycin, tobramycin, gentamicin, moxifloxacin and clindamycin are better than cefazolin, daptomycin, meropenem, ertapenem, cefotaxime, ampicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanate and cefepime [4–6]. Thus, the two most common antibiotics mixed with bone cement are vancomycin and aminoglycosides such as tobramycin and gentamicin.

Recent annual arthroplasty registries have shown that 96.3% of total knee arthroplasties (TKAs) and 93.7% of total hip arthroplasties (THAs) using cement, used antibiotic-loaded cement [7]. Plain cement has a slightly higher rate of revision than antibiotic-loaded cement when used in TKA [7]. Likewise, in THA, a lower rate of revision is observed for antibiotic-loaded cement in the first five years from surgery [7]. However, the rates of revision in THA were no different between antibiotic-loaded and plain cement beyond five years [7].

Commercially available antibiotic-loaded cements include Palacos® R+G (Zimmer Biomet), Simplex™ P with Tobramycin (Stryker), Smartset™ GHV (DePuy) or Refobacin® (BioMet), but several concerns remain about having readily available antibiotic-loaded cements. Studies have raised concerns regarding the following: (a) increasing microbial resistant; (b) insufficient dose of antibiotic in commercial preparations; (c) additional unnecessary

cost; and (d) reduced mechanical properties of antibiotic-loaded cement [7–10].

While most primary THAs in the United States are done with cementless fixation [11], cemented THA is still commonly used in other geographic regions of the world. In the case of cemented arthroplasty, a retrospective comparison study on the use of antibiotic-loaded cement demonstrated an approximately 50% lower infection rate and lower rate of wound infection [11,12]. In addition to lower rates of infection, there is evidence that the addition of antibiotics to the cement leads to a reduction of all time failures of THA [13,14]. Results of a recent systematic review and meta-analysis on 12 clinical trials showed that conventional ventilation together with systemic antibiotics and antibiotic-loaded cement was most likely to provide the best protection against THA-related SSIs [15].

Previous evidence has shown that antibiotic-loaded cement together with systemic antibiotic prophylaxis was effective in reducing PJI in TKA compared with plain cement and systemic antibiotic prophylaxis [16–18]; however, new evidence does not support these results. Two recent prospective studies showed that antibiotic-loaded cement did not reduce the rate of deep infection following primary TKA compared with plain cement [19,20]. More recently, a systematic review on the use of antibiotic-loaded cement in total joint arthroplasty evaluated six articles encompassing 6,318 arthroplasties. Among the study population, 3,217 of these arthroplasties received antibiotic-loaded cement and 3,101 arthroplasties served as the control. Only two studies showed a significant effect of antibiotic-loaded cement in preventing deep infection in primary TKA. Contra-

ditory results were reported in the remaining four prospective and randomized clinical trial studies that showed no statistical difference between the two groups in terms of the incidence of deep or superficial SSIs [21]. In another meta-analysis, Kleppel et al. reported on 4,092 patients following TKA (3,903 primary TKA and 189 revision TKA). At the average follow-up time of 47.2 months for primary TKA, the use of antibiotic-loaded cement did not have a significant reduction in PJI/SSI [22]. Additionally, an analysis of 64,566 joints from the New Zealand Joint Registry demonstrated that the use of antibiotic-laden cement was actually associated with an increase in revision for PJI after a multivariate analysis (odds ratio (OR) 1.93, 95% confidence intervals (CI) 1.19 to 3.13) [23].

We must also consider the cost associated with the use of the antibiotic-loaded cement. Industrially manufactured antibiotic-loaded bone cement may be preferred, due to the ease of access [24]. However, biomechanical and elution testing has demonstrated 1-gram of vancomycin in handmade antibiotic-loaded cement can reduce the cost without compromising the mechanical strength or elution of the drug [25]. Additionally, vancomycin potentially has a higher antimicrobial activity when compared with gentamicin for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) while remaining heat-stable with adequate elution [26–28].

Overall, the literature still lacks an appropriately sized randomized clinical trial to better support the use of antibiotic-loaded cement.

REFERENCES

- Jiranek WA, Hanssen AD, Greenwald AS. Antibiotic-loaded bone cement for infection prophylaxis in total joint replacement. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2006;88:2487–2500. doi:10.2106/JBJS.E.01126.
- Hendriks JGE, van Horn JR, van der Mei HC, Busscher HJ. Backgrounds of antibiotic-loaded bone cement and prosthesis-related infection. *Biomaterials.* 2004;25:545–556.
- Blomgren G, Lindgren U. Late hematogenous infection in total joint replacement: studies of gentamicin and bone cement in the rabbit. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1981;244–248.
- Gálvez-López R, Peña-Monje A, Antelo-Lorenzo R, Guardia-Olmedo J, Moliz J, Hernández-Quero J, et al. Elution kinetics, antimicrobial activity, and mechanical properties of 11 different antibiotic loaded acrylic bone cement. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2014;78:70–74. doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2013.09.014.
- Anagnostakos K, Wilmes P, Schmitt E, Kelm J. Elution of gentamicin and vancomycin from polymethylmethacrylate beads and hip spacers in vivo. *Acta Orthop.* 2009;80:193–197. doi:10.3109/17453670902884700.
- Chang Y, Tai C-L, Hsieh PH, Ueng SWN. Gentamicin in bone cement: a potentially more effective prophylactic measure of infection in joint arthroplasty. *Bone Joint Res.* 2013;2:220–226. doi:10.1302/2046-3758.210.2000188.
- Australian National Joint Replacement Registry, Annual Report 2017. <https://aoanjr.sahmri.com/documents/10180/397736/Hip%2C%20Knee%20%26%20Shoulder%20Arthroplasty>. Accessed May 22, 2018.
- Kärrholm J, Lindahl H, Malchau H, Mohaddes M, Nemes S, Rogmark C, et al. Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register Annual Report 2016. doi:10.18158/Sjy6jKyrM.
- Frew NM, Cannon T, Nichol T, Smith TJ, Stockley I. Comparison of the elution properties of commercially available gentamicin and bone cement containing vancomycin with “home-made” preparations. *Bone Joint J.* 2017;99-B:73–77. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.99B1-BJ-2016-0566.R1.
- The Norwegian Hip Fracture Register. Norwegian national advisory unit on arthroplasty and hip fractures. <http://nrweb.ihelse.net/eng/>.
- Huo MH, Dumont GD, Knight JR, Mont MA. What's new in total hip arthroplasty? *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2011;93:1944–1950. doi:10.2106/JBJS.K.00656.
- Parvizi J, Saleh KJ, Ragland PS, Pour AE, Mont MA. Efficacy of antibiotic-impregnated cement in total hip replacement. *Acta Orthop.* 2008;79:335–341. doi:10.1080/17453670710015229.
- Block JE, Stubbs HA. Reducing the risk of deep wound infection in primary joint arthroplasty with antibiotic bone cement. *Orthopedics.* 2005;28:1334–1345.
- Espehaug B, Engesaeter LB, Vollset SE, Havelin LI, Langeland N. Antibiotic prophylaxis in total hip arthroplasty. Review of 10,905 primary cemented total hip replacements reported to the Norwegian arthroplasty register, 1987 to 1995. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1997;79:590–595.
- Zheng H, Barnett AG, Merollini K, Sutton A, Cooper N, Berendt T, et al. Control strategies to prevent total hip replacement-related infections: a systematic review and mixed treatment comparison. *BMJ Open.* 2014;4:e003978. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013-003978.
- Chiu FY, Chen CM, Lin CF, Lo WH. Cefuroxime-impregnated cement in primary total knee arthroplasty: a prospective, randomized study of three hundred and forty knees. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2002;84-A:759–762.
- Eveillard M, Mertil P, Tramier B, Eb F. Effectiveness of gentamicin-impregnated cement in the prevention of deep wound infection after primary total knee arthroplasty. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2003;24:778–780. doi:10.1086/502134.
- Randelli P, Evola FR, Cabitza P, Polli L, Denti M, Vaienti L. Prophylactic use of antibiotic-loaded bone cement in primary total knee replacement. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2010;18:181–186. doi:10.1007/s00167-009-0921-y.
- Wilairatana V, Sinlapavilawan P, Honsawek S, Limpaphayom N. Alteration of inflammatory cytokine production in primary total knee arthroplasty using antibiotic-loaded bone cement. *J Orthop Traumatol.* 2017;18:51–57. doi:10.1007/s10195-016-0432-9.
- Wang H, Qiu GX, Lin J, Jin J, Qian WW, Weng XS. Antibiotic bone cement cannot reduce deep infection after primary total knee arthroplasty. *Orthopedics.* 2015;38:e462–e466. doi:10.3928/01477447-20150603-52.
- Schiavone Panni A, Corona K, Giulianelli M, Mazzitelli G, Del Regno C, Vasso M. Antibiotic-loaded bone cement reduces risk of infections in primary total knee arthroplasty? A systematic review. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2016;24:3168–3174. doi:10.1007/s00167-016-4301-0.
- Kleppel D, Stirton J, Liu J, Ebraheim NA. Antibiotic bone cement's effect on infection rates in primary and revision total knee arthroplasties. *World J Orthop.* 2017;8:946–955. doi:10.5312/wjo.v8.i12.946.
- Tayton ER, Frampton C, Hooper GJ, Young SW. The impact of patient and surgical factors on the rate of infection after primary total knee arthroplasty: an analysis of 64,566 joints from the New Zealand Joint Registry. *Bone Joint J.* 2016;98-b:334–340.
- Hendrich C, Frommelt L, Eulert J. *Septische Knochen und Gelenkchirurgie.* Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag; 2004.
- Lee SH, Tai CL, Chen SY, Chang CH, Chang YH, Hsieh PH. Elution and mechanical strength of vancomycin-loaded bone cement: in vitro study of the influence of brand combination. *PLoS ONE.* 2016;11:e0166545. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0166545.
- Tunney MM, Ramage G, Patrick S, Nixon JR, Murphy PG, Gorman SP. Antimicrobial susceptibility of bacteria isolated from orthopedic implants following revision hip surgery. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 1998;42:3002–3005.
- Kuechle DK, Landon GC, Musher DM, Noble PC. Elution of vancomycin, daptomycin, and amikacin from acrylic bone cement. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1991;302–308.
- Adams K, Couch L, Cierny G, Calhoun J, Mader JT. In vitro and in vivo evaluation of antibiotic diffusion from antibiotic-impregnated polymethylmethacrylate beads. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1992;244–252.

Authors: Andrew Porteous, Matthew W. Squire, Justin Geriner

QUESTION 3: What is the optimal antibiotic(s) dosage to be used in cement during reimplantation that does not significantly interfere with the mechanical strength of cement used for fixation?

RECOMMENDATION: The mechanical strength of most cement is maintained if ≤5% (w/w) of antibiotics is added (equating to 2 grams in a 40 gram packet).

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE: Moderate

DELEGATE VOTE: Agree: 92%, Disagree: 3%, Abstain: 5% (Super Majority, Strong Consensus)