

- [19] American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Clinical Practice Guideline and Systematic Review Methodology. https://www.aaos.org/uploaded-Files/PreProduction/Quality/Guidelines_and_Reviews/guidelines/Guideline%20and%20Systematic%20Review%20Processes_v2.0_Final.pdf.
- [20] Aggarwal VK, Tischler E, Ghanem E, Parvizi J. Leukocyte esterase from synovial fluid aspirate: a technical note. *J Arthroplasty*. 2013;28:193-195. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2012.06.023.
- [21] American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. The diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infections of the hip and knee. Guideline and evidence report. <https://www.aaos.org/Research/guidelines/PJIguideline.pdf> 2010.



Authors: Camilo Restrepo, William Griffin

QUESTION 6: What intraoperative metrics can be utilized at the time of intended reimplantation to help decision-making and reduce the risk of subsequent recurrence?

RECOMMENDATION: Intraoperatively, frozen section and leukocyte esterase (LE) strip test can be used as decision-making metrics for reimplantation.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE: Limited

DELEGATE VOTE: Agree: 66%, Disagree: 25%, Abstain: 9% (Super Majority, Weak Consensus)

RATIONALE

The intraoperative decision-making process for reimplantation must be based on metrics that are fast (due to time constraints), accurate to reduce the risk of recurrence and reliable so that such metrics can be reproduced in many scenarios.

Frozen Section (FS)

Intraoperative FSs have been used as a fast and accurate indicator of infection during reimplantation due to high specificity. Most of the studies recommend withholding reimplantation in the presence of positive results. Nonetheless there is a debate regarding optimal cutoff for the number of polymorphonuclear cells (PMNs) per high-power field and whether this should be a quantitative or qualitative analysis. The primary reason FS is not universally accepted as a decision-making marker is its reliability. FS continues to have a low sensitivity (between 25 - 50%) in the presence of infection [1-5]. FS is also dependent on a highly specialized pathologist with experience, which is evident in a study published by George et al. where even in the presence of a highly trained pathologist, the sensitivity only reached 50% [5]. Gram and fungal stains have very low sensitivity [6-8], and therefore are not recommended.

Leukocyte Esterase (LE)

The LE strip test has the advantages of being a fast, accurate and reliable test. This is supported by several recently published studies and a meta-analysis [9-22]. These publications show that LE has a sensitivity that ranges from 49% up to 95%, and a specificity that ranges from 82 - 100%. Some papers also have shown a positive predictive value (PPV) from 71.5 to 100%.

One of the limitations observed with LE, being a colorimetric assay, was the potential for inaccurate readings in the presence of a bloody sample. A recent study by Li X et al. [23] showed that when a bloody sample is centrifuged, the LE continues to have excellent sensitivity and specificity (92 and 93.1% respectively), making it still a very reliable test for intraoperative decision-making. Another concern when LE started to be widely used was its accuracy in the presence of adverse local tissue reactions (ALTR), namely metallosis. Tischler et al. [12] demonstrated that LE combined with PMN % was reliable in ruling out infection in 92.9% of the cases evaluated.

Alpha-Defensin

The alpha-defensin test as a reliable synovial biomarker for the diagnosis of infection was introduced by Deirmengian et al. [14] Since then, newer techniques have been developed which achieve similar results in a faster fashion. Alpha-defensin lateral-flow immunoassays [24-31] are faster and have a sensitivity that ranges from 64.7 - 94.5%, a specificity with a range of 87 - 99.6%, a positive predictive value (PPV) from 74.6 - 98.1%, and a negative predictive value (NPV) from 83.7 - 98.2%. However, a few studies [29,30] have demonstrated that the immunoassay test performed in the laboratory setting is more accurate than the lateral-flow technique, and provides sensitivity ranges from 83.6 - 97.1%, specificity ranges of 97 - 100%, PPV ranges from 94.9 - 100%, and NPV ranges from 89.9 - 98.2%.

As with LE, other factors can impact the accuracy of Alpha-defensin testing. The specificity and PPV can decrease in the presence of ALTR [24] and crystal deposition arthroplasties [31].

Interleukins

Another lateral-flow immunoassay technique being used for the diagnosis of PJI involves interleukins, specifically Interleukin-6 (IL-6). This intraoperative test allows for a rapid assessment of the cytokines within the synovial fluid. This technique is already in use with an acceptable specificity but relatively low sensitivity. However, when IL-6 is measured in the lab with radioimmunoassay techniques, it is more accurate [32].

Despite having these time-tested and novel techniques, the surgeon continues to rely on a combination of preoperative testing, intraoperative clinical judgment and the interpretation of these intraoperative metrics to decide whether it is safe to proceed with reimplantation and avoid the risk of PJI recurrence.

REFERENCES

- [1] Feldman DS, Lonner JH, Desai P, Zuckerman JD. The role of intraoperative frozen sections in revision total joint arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1995;77:1807-1813.
- [2] Della Valle CJ, Bogner E, Desai P, Lonner JH, Adler E, Zuckerman JD, et al. Analysis of frozen sections of intraoperative specimens obtained at the time of reoperation after hip or knee resection arthroplasty for the treatment of infection. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1999;81:684-689.
- [3] Bori G, Soriano A, Garcia S, Mallofré C, Riba J, Mensa J. Usefulness of histological analysis for predicting the presence of microorganisms at the time

- of reimplantation after hip resection arthroplasty for the treatment of infection. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2007;89:1232-1237. doi:10.2106/JBJS.F.00741.
- [4] Cho WS, Byun SE, Cho WJ, Yoon YS, Dhurve K. Polymorphonuclear cell count on frozen section is not an absolute index of reimplantation in infected total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2013;28:1874-1877. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2013.03.016.
- [5] George J, Kwicien G, Klika AK, Ramanathan D, Bauer TW, Barsoum WK, et al. Are frozen sections and MSIS criteria reliable at the time of reimplantation of two-stage revision arthroplasty? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2016;474:1619-1626. doi:10.1007/s11999-015-4673-3.
- [6] Spangehl MJ, Masterson E, Masri BA, O'Connell JX, Duncan CP. The role of intraoperative gram stain in the diagnosis of infection during revision total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 1999;14:952-6.
- [7] Chimento GF, Finger S, Barrack RL. Gram stain detection of infection during revision arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1996;78:838-839.
- [8] Bauer TW, Parvizi J, Kobayashi N, Krebs V. Diagnosis of periprosthetic infection. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2006;88:869-882. doi:10.2106/JBJS.E.01149.
- [9] Parvizi J, Jacovides C, Antoci V, Ghanem E. Diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infection: the utility of a simple yet unappreciated enzyme. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2011;93:2242-2248. doi:10.2106/JBJS.J.01413.
- [10] Wetters NG, Berend KR, Lombardi AV, Morris MJ, Tucker TL, Della Valle CJ. Leukocyte esterase reagent strips for the rapid diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infection. *J Arthroplasty.* 2012;27:8-11. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2012.03.037.
- [11] Guenther D, Kokenge T, Jacobs O, Omar M, Krettek C, Gehrke T, et al. Excluding infections in arthroplasty using leukocyte esterase test. *Int Orthop.* 2014;38:2385-2390. doi:10.1007/s00264-014-2449-0.
- [12] Tischler EH, Cavanaugh PK, Parvizi J. Leukocyte esterase strip test: matched for musculoskeletal infection society criteria. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2014;96:1917-1920. doi:10.2106/JBJS.M.01591.
- [13] Colvin OC, Kransdorf MJ, Roberts CC, Chivers FS, Lorans R, Beauchamp CP, et al. Leukocyte esterase analysis in the diagnosis of joint infection: can we make a diagnosis using a simple urine dipstick? *Skeletal Radiol.* 2015;44:673-677. doi:10.1007/s00256-015-2097-5.
- [14] Deirmengian C, Kardos K, Kilmartin P, Cameron A, Schiller K, Booth RE, et al. The alpha-defensin test for periprosthetic joint infection outperforms the leukocyte esterase test strip. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2015;473:198-203. doi:10.1007/s11999-014-3722-7.
- [15] Shafafy R, McClatchie W, Chettiar K, Gill K, Hargrove R, Sturridge S, et al. Use of leukocyte esterase reagent strips in the diagnosis or exclusion of prosthetic joint infection. *Bone Joint J.* 2015;97-B:1232-1236. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.97B9.34910.
- [16] McLawhorn AS, Nawabi DH, Ranawat AS. Management of Resistant, Atypical and culture-negative periprosthetic joint infections after hip and knee arthroplasty. *Open Orthop J.* 2016;10:615-632. doi:10.2174/1874325001610010615.
- [17] Wyatt MC, Beswick AD, Kunutsor SK, Wilson MJ, Whitehouse MR, Blom AW. The alpha-defensin immunoassay and leukocyte esterase colorimetric strip test for the diagnosis of periprosthetic infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2016;98:992-1000. doi:10.2106/JBJS.15.01142.
- [18] Koh JJ, Han SB, In Y, Oh KJ, Lee DH, Kim TK, et al. The leukocyte esterase strip test has practical value for diagnosing periprosthetic joint infection after total knee arthroplasty: a multicenter study. *J Arthroplasty.* 2017;32:3519-3523. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2017.06.008.
- [19] Lee YS, Koo KH, Kim HJ, Tian S, Kim TY, Maltenfort MG, et al. Synovial fluid biomarkers for the diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2017;99:2077-2084. doi:10.2106/JBJS.17.00123.
- [20] Ruangsombon P, Chinprasertsuk S, Khejonnit V, Chareancholvanich K. Effect of depth of centrifuged synovial fluid on leukocyte esterase test for periprosthetic joint infection. *J Orthop Res.* 2017;35:2545-2550. doi:10.1002/jor.23561.
- [21] Shahi A, Tan TL, Kheir MM, Tan DD, Parvizi J. Diagnosing periprosthetic joint infection: and the winner is? *J Arthroplasty.* 2017;32:S232-S235. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2017.06.005.
- [22] Wang C, Li R, Wang Q, Wang C. Synovial fluid leukocyte esterase in the diagnosis of peri-prosthetic joint infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surg Infect (Larchmt).* 2018;19:245-253. doi:10.1089/sur.2017.192.
- [23] Li X, Li R, Ni M, Chai W, Hao L, Zhou Y, et al. Leukocyte esterase strip test: a rapid and reliable method for the diagnosis of infections in arthroplasty. *Orthopedics.* 2018;41:e189-e193. doi:10.3928/01477447-20180102-03.
- [24] Kasperek MF, Kasperek M, Boettner F, Faschingbauer M, Hahne J, Dominkus M. Intraoperative diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infection using a novel alpha-defensin lateral flow assay. *J Arthroplasty.* 2016;31:2871-2874. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2016.05.033.
- [25] Balato G, Franceschini V, Ascione T, Lamberti A, D'Amato M, Ensini A, et al. High performance of α -defensin lateral flow assay (synovasure) in the diagnosis of chronic knee prosthetic infections. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2018;26:1717-1722. doi:10.1007/s00167-017-4745-x.
- [26] Berger P, Van Cauter M, Driesen R, Neyt J, Cornu O, Bellemans J. Diagnosis of prosthetic joint infection with alpha-defensin using a lateral flow device: a multicentre study. *Bone Joint J.* 2017;99-B:1176-1182. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.99B9.BJJ-2016-1345.R2.
- [27] Sigmund IK, Holinka J, Gamper J, Staats K, Böhler C, Kubista B, et al. Qualitative α -defensin test (synovasure) for the diagnosis of periprosthetic infection in revision total joint arthroplasty. *Bone Joint J.* 2017;99-B:66-72. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.99B1.BJJ-2016-0295.R1.
- [28] Suda AJ, Tinelli M, Beisemann ND, Weil Y, Khoury A, Bischel OE. Diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infection using alpha-defensin test or multiplex-PCR: ideal diagnostic test still not found. *Int Orthop.* 2017;41:1307-1313. doi:10.1007/s00264-017-3412-7.
- [29] Gehrke T, Lausmann C, Citak M, Bonanzinga T, Frommelt L, Zahar A. The accuracy of the alpha defensin lateral flow device for diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infection: comparison with a gold standard. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2018;100:42-48. doi:10.2106/JBJS.16.01522.
- [30] Suen K, Keeka M, Ailabouni R, Tran P. Synovasure "quick test" is not as accurate as the laboratory-based α -defensin immunoassay: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bone Joint J.* 2018;100-B:66-72. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.100B1.BJJ-2017-0630.R1.
- [31] Partridge DG, Gordon A, Townsend R. False-positive synovial fluid alpha-defensin test in a patient with acute gout affecting a prosthetic knee. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol.* 2017;27:549-551. doi:10.1007/s00590-017-1942-8.
- [32] Wimmer MD, Ploeger MM, Friedrich MJ, Bornemann R, Roessler PP, Gravius S, et al. The QuickLine IL-6 lateral flow immunoassay improves the rapid intraoperative diagnosis of suspected periprosthetic joint infections. *Technol Health Care.* 2016;24:927-932. doi:10.3233/THC-161247.

Authors: Thomas W. Bauer, Veit Krenn, Vincent Krenn

QUESTION 7: What is the diagnostic accuracy of a frozen section (FS) during reimplantation surgery? What thresholds should be used in this context?

RECOMMENDATION: Adequate peer-reviewed literature exists to support either of two diagnostic thresholds for supporting the diagnosis of periprosthetic infections of the hip and knee: 5 neutrophils (PMNs) in each of at least 5 high power (400X) microscopic fields (HPF), or 10 PMNs in each of at least 5 HPFs.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE: Moderate

DELEGATE VOTE: Agree: 83%, Disagree: 10%, Abstain: 7% (Super Majority, Strong Consensus)

RATIONALE

A common method of treating periprosthetic infection of the hip or knee is two-stage exchange [1], but it can be difficult to determine if and when the infection has been adequately treated and the infected joint is ready to receive a new implant. The tests commonly used to help diagnose infection at revision arthroplasty, such as serologic tests, microbiologic culture, and the cell count with differential

of aspirated joint fluid may have been influenced by the previous surgery as well as an antibiotic-containing spacer and may not have the same predictive value as when they are applied at revision arthroplasty [2].

One of the few tests that can be performed during a reimplantation or revision arthroplasty operation is the interpretation of a FS of